Comparison of Public End-to-End Bandwidth Estimation tools on High-Speed Links

Alok Shriram, Margaret Murray, Young Hyun, Nevil Brownlee, Andre Broido, Marina Fomenkov and kc claffy



Tools Under Consideration for this Study

Available Bandwidth Tools

- Pathload [Dovrolis]
- Pathchirp [Ribeiro]
- Abing [Navaratil]
- Spruce [Strauss]

Bulk Transfer Capacity Tool

- Iperf [Dugan] (Unofficial Standard)
- Not Considering tools like
  - IGI/PTR[Hu]
  - Cprobe[Crovella]
  - Pipechar[Jin]
  - Netest [Jin]

## Tool properties and Metrics

- Tool Accuracy
- Operational Characteristics
  - Measurement Time
  - Intrusiveness, Overhead

# Why would we want to do this?

- Perform comprehensive, cross-tool validation.
  - Previous validation limited to low speed paths.
  - No comprehensive bias free evaluation.
  - Cross-traffic scenarios

#### Goal

- Discover insights about tool usability and deployment.
- Compare tool methodologies.

## Where are we doing this?

Study in two parts

First part in a laboratory setting where we can set most parameters.

Second part on actual internet paths with access to SNMP counters.

# Outline

#### Laboratory Setup

- Part 1: Laboratory Experiments
  - SmartBits
  - Tcpreplay
- Part 2: Internet Experiments
  - Abilene Network
  - SDSC ORNL

## Our Lab Topology



## Methods of Generating Cross-Traffic

- Prior results criticized because of "unrealistic" crosstraffic scenarios.
- Two Methods of Cross-Traffic generation
  - SmartBits
  - TCPreplay
- We attempt to recreate as realistic cross-traffic as possible
- We analyze the cross-traffic using two separate monitoring utilities.
  - NeTraMet
  - CoralReef

# Outline

#### Laboratory Setup

Part 1: Laboratory Experiments

#### SmartBits

- Tcpreplay
- Part 2: Internet Experiments
  - Abilene Network
  - SDSC ORNL

## Experiments with SmartBits

- SmartBits generates a known load
- Running in both directions of the shared path.
  - Range from 100 to 900 Mb/s
  - Increments of 100 Mb/s
- SmartBits cross-traffic for 6 minutes
- AB tools back-to-back for **5** minutes.
- Average the results.

## Accuracy of Tools Using SmartBits \_\_\_\_\_ Direction 1, Measured AB





# Why do Spruce and Abing perform poorly?

- Both send 1500 byte packet pairs with some interval t between packet pairs
- Compute AB by averaging the IAT between all the packet pairs
- Normal IAT should be 11-13 μs.
- Interrupt coalescence or delay quantization causes IAT jumps to 244 µs in some samples
- These delays throw off estimates.

## Measurement Time

- •Abing: 1.3 to 1.4 s
- •Spruce: 10.9 to 11.2 s
- •Pathload: 7.2 to 22.3 s
- •Patchchirp: 5.4 s
- •Iperf: 10.0 to 10.2 s

## Probe Traffic Overhead Injected by tool



# Outline

#### Laboratory Setup

#### Part 1: Laboratory Experiments

SmartBits

#### Tcpreplay

- Part 2: Internet Experiments
  - Abilene Network
  - SDSC ORNL

## Tests with Tcpreplay

- Tcpreplay: Tool to replay pcap trace
  - IAT and Packet Size distributions identical to real traffic
  - Not congestion aware.
- Used two traces (Sonet & Ethernet)
  - Sonet: Avg Load -102Mb/s
  - Ethernet: Avg Load -330Mb/s
- Cross-Traffic flowing in one direction.

## Tests with TCPreplay

- TCPreplay to regenerate trace traffic
  One direction of the shared path
- TCPreplay cross-traffic for 6 minutes
- Run AB measuring tools back-to-back
- Plot a time-series of the measurements against the actual values of AB.

### Accuracy with TCPreplay

Actual Available Bandwidth

Measured Available Bandwidth



## Why Does Iperf perform Poorly?

- Iperf encounters approx 1% packet loss
- Caused by
  - Small buffers on the switches
  - Long retransmit timer 1.2 s
- Performance Improved by
  - Reducing retransmit timer
  - Bypassing the bottleneck buffer

# Outline

- Laboratory Setup
- Part 1: Laboratory Experiments
  - SmartBits
  - Tcpreplay
- Part 2: Internet Experiments
  - Abilene Network
  - SDSC ORNL

## Abilene Experiment (SNVA-ATLA)

- End-to-End path on Abilene from Sunnyvale to Atlanta (5pm EST)
- 6 hop path
- Access to 64 bit InOctets for all the routers along the path
- Tight and Narrow link was the end host 1Gb/s access link.
- All other links 2.5 Gb/s and 10 Gb/s.



### Spruce run on the Abilene Path



# Outline

- Laboratory Setup
- Part 1: Laboratory Experiments
  - SmartBits
  - Tcpreplay

#### Part 2: Internet Experiments

- Abilene Network
- SDSC ORNL

## **SDSC-ORNL** experiments

- SDSC->ORNL
  - 622 Mb/s Narrow Link
  - 1500 Byte MTU
- ORNL->SDSC
  - 1 Gb/s Narrow link
  - 9000 Byte MTU
- Assume that narrow link is the tight link
- No access to SNMP information

# SDSC-ORNL path

Direction	Path Capacity, MTU	Probe Packet Size	Tool Reading Abing (Mb/s)	Tool Reading Pathchirp (Mb/s)	Tool Reading Pathload (Mb/s)	Tool Reading Spruce (Mb/s)
SDSC to ORNL	622 Mb/s,	1500	178/241	543	>324	296
	1500	9000	f/664	f	409-424	0
ORNL to	1000	1500	727/286	807	>600	516
3030	9000	9000	f/778	816	846	807

## Conclusions

- Pathload and Pathchirp are the most accurate
- Iperf requires maximum buffer size and is sensitive to small packet loss.
- 1500B packets and µs time resolution are insufficient for accurate measurement on high speed paths
- Delay quantization negatively affects tools using packet pair techniques like Abing and Spruce.

## Future Work

- Impact of responsive cross-traffic on Available Bandwidth estimates
  - Spirent Avalanche traffic generator
- Impact of packet sizes on bandwidth estimation robustness.
- Impact of router buffer sizes on available bandwidth and achievable TCP throughput measurement.

## Thank You !!!

#### Acknowledgements

Matthew Zekauskas, Aaron Turner, Brendan White, Dan Anderson, Ken Keys



#### Cross Traffic Characteristics of SmartBits

