Detection of Topological Patterns in Complex Networks: Correlation Profile of the Internet

Sergei Maslov Brookhaven National Laboratory

Outline

- Two intuitive algorithms to construct a randomized network with a given degree distribution
 - S. Maslov, K. Sneppen, Science (2002)
 - S. Maslov, K. Sneppen: cond-mat/0205379 at arxiv.org (2002), Physica A (2004)
- Apply them to detect the 3D plot of degree-degree correlations in the Internet
- Is Internet really disassortative?

Which topological patterns are important?

- Which topological patterns of a large complex network are there for a reason:
 - design principles, functional constraints
 - generated by growth dynamics
- Compare the number of patterns in real and properly randomized (null model) networks

What to include in the null model?

- Measurable quantities that you deem important!
 - Degrees of individual nodes
 - Global connectivity
 - Clustering, geography, user-provider status, etc.
- To discover novel high-level patterns the null model should include all low-level patterns that are "understood" (or commonly accepted)

How to construct a proper random network?

The basic edge swapping (rewiring) algorithm



Randomly select and rewire two edges

Repeat many times

S. Maslov, K. Sneppen, *Science* (2002)

R. Kannan,P. Tetali,S. Vempala,Random Structuresand Algorithms (1999).

No multiple edges

- When constructing a random network do not allow multiple edges
- Expected number of edges between a pair of nodes is E_{ii}=K_iK_i/(2E)
- E_{h1h2} between the two largest hubs in the Internet circa January 2000 is 1458 * 750/ (2 * 12,573)=43.5 edges!
- Dangerous for γ<3 as
 [# of hub-to-hub edges] ~N^{(3-γ)/(γ-1)}

Rewiring algorithm with a twist

"energy" E+∆E "energ D partners

- Define energy function $E = (N_{actual} - N_{desired})^2 / N_{desired}$

 - N_{actual} the actual number of e.g. triangles
 - N_{desired} what we want it to be
- Randomly select two edges and calculate change ΔE in the energy function
- **Rewire** with probability $p = \exp(-\Delta E/T)$

S. Maslov, K. Sneppen: cond-mat preprint at arxiv.org (2002)

Published with A. Zaliznyak Physica A (2004)

Beyond degree distributions: How is it all wired together? Central vs peripheral network architecture



Largest hub is in the center (very hierarchical) "assortative"



Hubs are peripheral (very anti-hierarchical) "disassortative"

Correlation profile

- Count N(k₀,k₁) the number of links between nodes with connectivities k₀ and k₁
- Compare it to N_r(k₀,k₁) the same property in a randomized network
- Randomized network conserves degrees of individual AS and the single-component nature of the Internet

Degree-degree correlations in the AS-network

$N(k_0, k_1) / N_r(k_0, k_1)$

 $[N(k_0, k_1) - N_r(k_0, k_1)] / \Delta N_r(k_0, k_1)$



Does it hold for recent data?

BGP, March 1-June 1 2005



DIMES, March 1-June 1 2005





Is Internet disassortative?





cond-mat/0205379, (2002)

What to include in a proper random network?

2nd generation of null models

- N(k,k') may be conserved in addition to N(k)
- The null model could be generated by our rewiring algorithm with energy function
 - Bin the connectivity k into few bins per decade
- For a crude model one could use our hierarchical/anti-hierarchical rewiring model
 - A. Trusina, S. Maslov, P. Minnhagen, and K. Sneppen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 17870 (2004), cond-mat/0308339.



Conclusions

- Internet is NOT disassortative!
- Network rewiring with a twist a useful tool to generate random networks with desired low-level topological properties
- Could be used to discover non-random topological features e.g. degree-degree correlations (and much more)
 - Super-hubs do not avoid other super-hubs in the AS-Internet (an artifact of multiple edges in a null model)
 - Mid-sized nodes like to connect to "user" nodes (degrees 1-3)
 - User nodes avoid other user nodes

THE END