COMP311-10B (HAM) Computer Systems Architecture   Total number of questionnaires analysed = 7

PAPER (co-taught)   Overall satisfaction with quality of paper = 1.3   Students enrolled = 16

Other ratings range from 1.3 to 1.8 (n≥6)   Response rate 44%

Question		Frequency	%	Cum %
I received accurate information about what the paper would involve	Always 1	4	66.7%	66.7%
	Usually 2	1	16.7%	83.3%
	Sometimes 3	1	16.7%	100.0%
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.5	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		6	100.0%	100.0%
The paper's objectives (learning outcomes) were stated clearly	Always 1	3	50.0%	50.0%
	Usually 2	3	50.0%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.5	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		6	100.0%	100.0%
The assessment tasks were a fair test of my learning in this paper	Always 1	3	50.0%	50.0%
	Usually 2	3	50.0%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.5	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		6	100.0%	100.0%
I was able to access required learning resources when I needed them	Always 1	2	33.3%	33.3%
	Usually 2	3	50.0%	83.3%
	Sometimes 3	1	16.7%	100.0%
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.8	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		6	100.0%	100.0%
The paper was well organised and ran smoothly	Always 1	3	50.0%	50.0%
	Usually 2	3	50.0%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.5	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		6	100.0%	100.0%
I was given enough time to learn the things I had to learn	Always 1	3	50.0%	50.0%
	Usually 2	3	50.0%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.5	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		6	100.0%	100.0%
The paper was intellectually stimulating	Always 1	5	71.4%	71.4%
	Usually 2	2	28.6%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.3	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%
Overall, I was satisfied with the quality of this paper	Always 1	5	71.4%	71.4%
	Usually 2	2	28.6%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.3	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%

COMP311-10B (HAM) Computer Systems Architecture

PAPER (co-taught)

TEACHING DEVELOPMENT

COMP311-10B (HAM) Computer Systems Architecture

PAPER (co-taught)

PAPER APPRAISAL RESULTS

Overall satisfaction with quality of paper = 1.3

Other ratings range from 1.3 to 1.8 (n≥6)

Oct-10

Total number of questionnaires analysed = 7

Students enrolled = 16

Response rate 44%

Question		Frequency	%	Cum %
Citizenship	NZ citizen 1	5	83.3%	83.3%
	Australian citizen 2	0	0.0%	83.3%
	Permanent resident 3	0	0.0%	83.3%
	International student 4	1	16.7%	100.0%
		6	100.0%	100.0%
For my programme of study, this paper is	Compulsory 1	1	25.0%	25.0%
	Optional 2	3	75.0%	100.0%
		4	100.0%	100.0%

TEACHING DEVELOPMENT

COMP311-10B (HAM) Computer Systems Architecture

PAPER (co-taught)

PAPER APPRAISAL RESULTS

Overall satisfaction with quality of paper = 1.3

Other ratings range from 1.3 to 1.8 (n≥6)

Oct-10

Total number of questionnaires analysed = 7

Students enrolled = 16

Response rate 44%

TEACHING DEVELOPMENT

TEACHING APPRAISAL RESULTS

Oct-10

COMP311-10B (HAM) Computer Systems Architecture

Total number of questionnaires analysed = 7

TEACHING - Matthew Luckie (co-taught)

Overall teaching effectiveness = 1.1

Students enrolled = 16

Other ratings range from 1.1 to 1.4

Response rate 44%

Question		Frequency	%	Cum %
This teacher was enthusiastic about what they were teaching	Always 1	5	71.4%	71.4%
	Usually 2	2	28.6%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.3	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%
This teacher was good at explaining things	Always 1	4	57.1%	57.1%
	Usually 2	3	42.9%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.4	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%
This teacher made it clear what they expected of me	Always 1	4	57.1%	57.1%
	Usually 2	3	42.9%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.4	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%
This teacher gave me helpful feedback on how I was going	Always 1	4	57.1%	57.1%
	Usually 2	3	42.9%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.4	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%
This teacher encouraged me to get actively involved in learning tasks	Always 1	6	85.7%	85.7%
	Usually 2	1	14.3%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.1	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%
This teacher made the subject interesting	Always 1	6	85.7%	85.7%
	Usually 2	1	14.3%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.1	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%
This teacher was approachable when advice or help was required	Always 1	4	57.1%	57.1%
	Usually 2	3	42.9%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.4	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%
Overall, this teacher was effective	Always 1	6	85.7%	85.7%
	Usually 2	1	14.3%	100.0%
	Sometimes 3	0	0.0%	
	Seldom 4	0	0.0%	
Mean: 1.1	Never 5	0	0.0%	
		7	100.0%	100.0%